



Aerospace

Avionics

Biotechnology

Business Methods

Chemistry

Computer Hardware & Software

Electronics

Energy

Internet & Information Technology

Mechanical Systems

Medical Devices

Optics

Pharmaceuticals

Process Technology

Satellite Systems

Telecommunications

At McAndrews, Held & Malloy,

we take great pride in our reputation as one of the most effective and admired guardians of intellectual property and technology rights in the nation.

Best known for our unparalleled record of litigation successes, our firm also provides prosecution, licensing and counseling services related to patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets, antitrust and unfair business practices.

Our clients range from start-ups to multinational companies in a wide variety of industries, including biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, electronic control systems, specialty and intermediate chemicals, lighting technologies, mechanical systems, telecommunications and medical devices.

Our attorneys are known for their clear and effective communication skills, expertise and training in engineering and science, and their command of winning business strategies — both inside and outside of the courtroom.

Our attorneys have consistently achieved litigation success in jury trials, bench trials and ADR proceedings across the country. We are also known for developing innovative strategies that allow our clients to successfully resolve cases without the need for trial. Some of our recent accomplishments include:

Ovion, Inc. v. Conceptus, Inc. [Case No. 02-1968 (N.D. Cal. 2003)]. MH&M obtained a settlement for client Ovion, where Conceptus agreed to pay \$4 million and a running royalty for a non-incisional, permanent birth control system.

Acuity Brands, Inc. v. Genlyte Thomas Group, LLC [Case No. 1:02-CV-2458 (N.D. Ga. 2003)]. In less than six months, MH&M obtained dismissal with prejudice of Acuity's claims that client Genlyte infringed four Acuity patents relating to lighting fixtures.

Norian Corporation v. Stryker Corporation [Case No. 02-1490 (Fed. Cir. April 6, 2004)]. The Federal Circuit affirmed the jury's verdict of obviousness in favor of client Stryker. The decision invalidated Norian's patent relating to methods of making bone cement, and remanded for further proceedings on other issues.

SciMed Life Systems, Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc. [242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)]. MH&M obtained a Federal Circuit affirmance of a summary judgment of non-infringement in favor of client ACS, a world leading medical device manufacturer, in a case involving angioplasty catheters and stents with sales exceeding \$1 billion.

XOMA Ltd. v. Biosite Inc. [Case No. 01-2580 (N.D. Cal. 2003)]. MH&M obtained a settlement for client XOMA, a leading developer of biopharmaceuticals, that includes an assignment of Biosite's antibody expression technology and a royalty-free, irrevocable and perpetual license to Biosite's "Dower" patents.

Arachnid, Inc. v. Merit Industries, Inc. [Case No. 99 C 5699 (N.D. Ill. 2003)]. MH&M enforced client Arachnid's position as the technical and innovative leader in the electronic dart market through a favorable settlement of patent litigation including assignments of patents and other intellectual property from Merit.

Bretford Mfg., Inc. v. Smith System Mfg. Co. [Case No. 98 C 0287 (N.D. Ill. 2003)]. MH&M won a landmark Lanham Act decision for client Smith that reversed prior awards of damages, fees and costs, and awarded costs to Smith. MH&M took over this case after client Smith was found in violation of the Lanham Act.

Castrol Limited v. George H. Nunes, Inc., et al. [Case No. 01-6206 (E.D. Cal. 2003)]. MH&M secured a consent injunction in a Lanham Act case and an award to client Castrol of three times the defendants' profits on the offending sales, as well as an award of attorneys' fees.

Pederaft v. Stryker Corporation [Case No. 3:02-CV-334 (M.D. Fla. 2003)]. Following a favorable claim construction ruling for client Stryker, Pederaft voluntarily dismissed its patent infringement case with prejudice. The technology at issue was pediatric hospital beds.

Genlyte Thomas Group, LLC v. NSI [Case No. 3:00-CV-174 (W.D. Ky. 2003)]. Following favorable summary judgment rulings for client Genlyte, NSI agreed to pay \$8 million in damages and cease selling the infringing Insta-Lite recessed light fixtures.

McAndrews, Held & Malloy's \$166 million patent litigation award is one of the top ten intellectual property damages awards in U.S. history.

Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc. v. Medtronic AVE, Inc. [Case No. 99-5393 (N.D. Cal.)]